

#### REMARKS

The Title has been changed, as required by the Examiner, such that it is more descriptive of the claimed invention.

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, claims 16 and 17 have been cancelled. In addition, the claims have been amended for clarity.

Applicant believes that the above changes answer the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. 112, paragraph 2, rejection of claims 14, 16 and 17, and the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claim 14, and respectfully requests withdrawal thereof.

The Examiner has provisionally rejected claims 1 and 17 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11, 12 and 17 of co-pending U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/519,058.

In response thereto, enclosed herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer citing said U.S. patent application.

Applicant acknowledges that the Examiner has found clcaims 2-13 and 15 allowable over the prior art of record.

Applicant believes that this application, containing claims 1-15, is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by           /Edward W. Goodman/            
Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613  
Attorney  
Tel.: 914-333-9611